

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/993,132	HAWKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter Shaddock II, (Reg. No. 44,331).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103 Rejections over Bisbee and Vanstone

Claims discussed:

1-65

Prior art documents discussed:

Bisbee et al. (U.S. Patent 5,748,738) and Vanstone (U.S. Patent 6,212,281)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed that the claims 11-29 and 43-59 are allowable and that the application would be passed to issue if the remaining claims were cancelled. The Applicant was informed that the steps outlined in the independent claims 11, 18, 43, and 49, are not taught by the Cited Prior Art (CPA). The Applicant later agreed to cancel the remaining claims in the form of an Examiner's Amendment.